UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALBERT CHING, an individual, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s), CASE NO. C 11 4838 MEJ STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., a ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendant(s). Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5: The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process: **Court Processes:** Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5) **Private Process:** Private ADR (please identify process and provider) The parties agree to meet and confer in selecting a mutually agreeable mediator with wage/hour class action experience. The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.) other requested deadline After initial class certification discovery is completed. X Dated: 12/22/11 Attorney for Plaintiff DAVNOH, YEREMIAN Dated: 12/22/11 LAURA E. HAYWARD

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursu	ant to the Stipulation above, the captic	ned matter is hereby referred to:
	Non-binding Arbitration	
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)	
Ħ	Mediation	
×	Private ADR	
	Tittuto fibit	
Deadline for ADR session		
	90 days from the date of this order.	
	after initial discovery	
\bowtie	•	
	other completed	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated: 1/3/2012		
		UNITED STATE AGISTRATE
		JUDGE ///
		MARIA-ELENA JAMES

Firmwide:105248074.1 069268.1001